

# The Extension of the Riemann's Zeta Function

# **Mohamed Sghiar**



Abstract: In mathematics, the search for exact formulas giving all the prime numbers, certain families of prime numbers or the n-th prime number has generally proved to be vain, which has led to contenting oneself with approximate formulas [8]. The purpose of this article is to give a new proof of the Riemann hypothesis [4]-which is closely related to the distribution of prime

numbers- by y introducing <sup>5</sup> a new extension of the of the Riemann zeta function

Keywords: Prime Number, number theory, distribution of prime numbers, the law of prime numbers, the Gamma function, the Mertens function, quantum mechanics, black Holes, holomorphic function, Hilbert-Polya's conjecture, the Riemann hypothesis

In memory of the great professor, the physicist and mathematician, Moshé Flato.

# I. INTRODUCTION, RECALL, NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

 $\mathbf{P}$ rime numbers [See 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] are used especially in information technology, such as public-key cryptography which relies on factoring large numbers into their prime factors. And in abstract algebra, prime elements and prime ideals give a generalization of prime numbers. In mathematics, the search for exact formulas giving all the prime numbers, certain families of prime numbers or the nth prime number has generally proved to be vain, which has led to contenting oneself with approximate formulas [8]. Recall that Mills' Theorem [8]: "There exists a real number A, Mills' constant, such that, for any integer n > 0, the integer part of  $A^{3^n}$  is a prime number" was demonstrated in 1947 by mathematician William H. Mills, assuming the Riemann hypothesis [4, 5, 6,7] is true. Mills' Theorem [8] is also of little use for generating prime numbers. Recall that a link has been established between the prime numbers, the zeros of the Riemann zeta function and the energy level of various quantum systems [see 1 and 2 ] The purpose of this article is to to give a new proof of the Riemann hypothesis S a new extension of the of the [4]. by y introducing

[4]. by y introducing C a new extension of the of the Riemann zeta function.

Manuscript received on 04 August 2023 | Revised Manuscript received on 08 August 2023 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 March 2024 | Manuscript published on 30 May 2024. \*Correspondence Author(s)

**Mohamed Sghiar\***, Department of Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences Mirande, Université de Bourgogne Dijon, France. E-mail: <u>msghiar21@gmail.com</u>, ORCID ID: <u>0009-0003-0444-6798</u>

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an <u>open access</u> article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

# II. THE PROOF OF THE RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS

**Theorem:** The real part of every nontrivial zero of the Riemann zeta function is 1/2.

The link between the function  $\zeta$  and the prime numbers had already been established by Leonhard Euler with the formula [5], valid for  $\Re(s) > 1$ :

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} = \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{2^s})(1 - \frac{1}{3^s})(1 - \frac{1}{5^s})\dots}$$

where the infinite product is extended to the set P of prime numbers. This formula is sometimes called the Eulerian product.

And since the Dirichlet eta function can be defined by  $(1)^{p-1}$ 

$$\eta(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s)$$
 where:  $\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)}{n^s}$ 

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-z}} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1}}{n^{z}}$$

We have in particular: for  $0 < \Re(z) < 1$ 

Let 
$$s = x + iy$$
, with  $0 < \Re(s) < 1$ 



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved. The Extension of the Riemann's Zeta Function

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} = \prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-\varkappa n(p)}\cos(\varkappa n(p)))^{2} + (e^{-\varkappa n(p)}\sin(\varkappa n(p)))^{2}}$$
$$\prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-\varkappa n(p)}\cos(\varkappa n(p)))^{2} + (e^{-\varkappa n(p)}\sin(\varkappa n(p)))^{2}} \ge \prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-\varkappa n(p)})^{2} + (e^{-\varkappa n(p)})^{2}}$$
But :

If  $\zeta(s) = 0$ , then  $\prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-\lambda ln(p)})^2 + (e^{-\lambda ln(p)})^2} = 0$ and since the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s) = 0$  are symmetric with  $X = \frac{1}{2}$ because the zeta function satisfies the functional equation [4, 6]:

$$\zeta(s) = 2^{s} \pi^{s-1} \sin(\frac{\pi s}{2}) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s)$$

then  $x = \frac{1}{2} + \alpha$ , and if  $s' = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha + iy$ , then  $\zeta(s') = 0$ 

But the function  $\frac{1}{(1+e^{-tln(p)})^2 + (e^{-tln(p)})^2}$ is increasing in [0,1], so  $\prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{(1+e^{-tln(p)})^2 + (e^{-tln(p)})^2} = 0$  $\forall t \in [\frac{1}{2} - \alpha, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha]$ 

As 
$$\prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-z \ln(p)})^2 + (e^{-z \ln(p)})^2}$$
 is holomorphic: because:

$$\prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-z \ln(p)})^2 + (e^{-z \ln(p)})^2} = \prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - A/p^z} \frac{1}{1 - B/p^z} \operatorname{with} A = i - 1 \operatorname{and} B = -i - 1, \text{ and both}$$

$$\prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - A/p^z} \prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - B/p^z} \operatorname{are holomorphic in} \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \ge \frac{1}{2}\}$$

as we have:

S

$$\prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - A/p^{z}} = \prod_{p \in P} 1 + f_{p}(z) \quad \text{with} \quad f_{p}(z) = \frac{1}{(p^{z}/A) - 1}$$

 $|f_{p}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{|p^{z}/A| - 1} = \frac{1}{(p^{\Re(z)}/\sqrt{2}) - 1} \leq \frac{k}{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \text{ where } k \text{ is a positive real constant.}$ 

$$\sum_{p \in P, p=N}^{\infty} f_p(z) \leq k \left| \sum_{p=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \right| = k \zeta_N(\frac{1}{2})$$

But (see Lemma 1 [6]): 
$$\zeta_N(\frac{1}{2}) = O_N(1)$$

We deduce that the series  $\sum_{p} |f_{p}|$  converges normally on any compact of  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \ge \frac{1}{2}\}$  and consequently  $\prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - A/p^{z}}$  is holomorphic in

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijbsac.A05020910223 DOI:10.35940/ijbsac.A0502.10070324 Journal Website: www.ijbsac.org

5



$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \ge \frac{1}{2}\}$$
In the same way
$$\prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - B/p^{z}} \text{ is holomorphic in} \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \ge \frac{1}{2}\}$$
If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then the holomorphic function
$$\prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-dn(p)})^{2} + (e^{-dn(p)})^{2}}$$
will be null (because null on
$$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha$$
), and it follows that
$$\prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - A/p^{z}} \text{ or } \prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - B/p^{z}} \text{ is null in}$$

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \ge \frac{1}{2}\}$$
Let's show that this is impossible:
$$\prod_{i \in P} \frac{1}{1 - A/p^{z}} = \prod_{p \in P} 1 + f_{p}(z) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad f_{p}(z) = \frac{1}{(p^{z}/A) - 1} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
So for the same reason as above, the application:
$$|\xi: X \rightarrow \prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - X/p^{z}} \text{ is holomorphic in the open quasi-disc} \quad D = \{X \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < |X| < \sqrt{2}\} \quad \text{with} \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
Let's extend the function
$$\xi \text{ by setting:}$$

$$z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

For  
For  

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } s \leq 0 \text{ such } as \Re(s+z) \geq 0$$

$$\forall (C/q^s) = \prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - C/(q^s p^2)}$$

 $1 - C/(q^s p^2)$  (where q is a prime number, and C is such that  $|C| = \sqrt{2}$ )

In particular we have:

.

In particular we have.  $S(A/q^{s}) = \prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 - A/(q^{s}p^{z})}$ (where q is a prime number)

 $z \in \{z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}, z > \frac{1}{2}\}$  we have: But for

$$\prod_{p \in P} \left| \frac{1}{1 - A/(q^s p^z)} \right| \le \prod_{p \in P} \left| \frac{1}{1 - A/(p^z)} \right|$$

It follows that:

$$\mathfrak{S}(X) = 0, \forall X \in \mathcal{L}$$

And consequently:

$$\mathfrak{S}(1)(z) = \zeta(z) = 0 \quad \forall z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \mathfrak{R}(z) > \frac{1}{2}\}$$

which is absurd, so  $\alpha = 0$ , hence the Riemann hypothesis.

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijbsac.A05020910223 DOI: 10.35940/ijbsac.A0502.10070324 Journal Website: www.ijbsac.org

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



# III. CONCLUSION

By considering the Riamann zeta function as an action on the **particles** of the complex plane, I showed that on the positive half plane, the zeta action can only be canceled on

$$\lim_{\text{line}} x = \frac{1}{2}$$

the

The idea was to study the square modulus of the zeta function. And assuming that the function vanishes at a point

in the band  $0 < \Re(z) < 1$  and outside the line 2, by symmetry of the roots the square function of zeta module which is a real function- will cancel on two reals a and b, and by the growth of the square function of the zeta module on [a, b], the square function of the module will cancel on [a, b]. But by extending the square function of the zeta module by a holomorphic function, the latter must be zero because the roots of a non-zero holomorphic function are separated. And by decomposing this last function into two holomorphic functions, one of them will be zero and will imply that the zeta function will be zero, which is absurd.

#### **DECLARATION STATEMENT**

Funding	No, I did not receive.
Conflicts of Interest	No conflicts of interest to the best of our knowledge.
Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate	No, the article does not require ethical approval and consent to participate with evidence.
Availability of Data and Material	Not relevant.
Authors Contributions	I am only the sole author of the article.

# REFERENCES

- N. M. KATZ and P. SARNAK Random Matrices, Frobenius Eigenvalues, and Monodromy. Colloq.Publ. 45, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1999. <u>https://doi.org/10.1090/coll/045</u>
- N. M. KATZ and P. SARNAK, zeroes of zeta functions and symmetry, Bulletin (New Series) of the american mathematical society, Volume 36, Number 1, January 1999, Pages 1–26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1090/S0273-0979-99-00766-1</u>
- 3. Roshdi Rashed, Entre arithmétique et algèbre: Recherches sur l'histoire des mathématiques arabes, journal Paris, 1984.
- M. Sghiar, The Special Functions and the Proof of the Riemann's Hypothesis. IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM), 2020, 16, Issue 3, Serie II, pp.10 - 12.
- M Sghiar. Des applications génératrices des nombres premiers et cinq preuves de l-hypothèse de Riemann. Pioneer Journal of Algebra Number Theory and its Applications, 2015, 10 (1-2), pp.1-31. <u>http://www.pspchv.com/content PJNTA-vol-10-issues-1-2</u>.
- M. Sghiar. The Mertens function and the proof of the Riemann's hypothesis, International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT), ISNN: 2249-8958, Volume- 7 Issue-2, December 2017. (Improved in <u>https://hal.science/hal-01667383</u>)
- M. SGHIAR "La preuve de la conjecture de Birch et Swinnerton-Dyer. "IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) 14.3 (2018): 50-59
- William H. Mills, A prime representing function, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1947, p. 604. <u>https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1947-08849-</u>2

# **AUTHOR PROFILE**



**Mohamed Sghiar** holds a DEA in mathematics, is an independent researcher, and a contractual professor at the rectorate of the Dijon academy. Passionate about both physics and mathematics, he has taken a particular interest in the problem of the Riemann hypothesis, which is closely related to quantum mechanics. This explains why the proofs in most of his articles have a

physical aspect.

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijbsac.A05020910223 DOI:10.35940/ijbsac.A0502.10070324 Journal Website: www.ijbsac.org **Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)/ journal and/or the editor(s). The Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



7