Use of Network Performance Management Tools to **Increase Productivity**

Seth Okyere Dankwa, Daparti Subba Rao

Abstract- Research has shown that there is a substantial lost in productivity anytime the performance of computer network becomes suspect. The resultant financial effect of supplementary bandwidth investment presents a daunting picture. Performance issues are very crucial in computer networks, for example when many computers are interconnected, complex interactions arise with unforeseen consequences. This complexity leads to degradation of performance if the system is not managed properly. The research explores the use of performance management aspect of the network management to maximize efficiency and productivity in computer network. It also tries to find out the features of performance management, examines current solutions to performance management features, investigate about techniques adopted to achieve quality of service and then attempts to recommend an appropriate performance approach to a medium sized company. The research is expected to reveal that performance management concept is one of the most efficient and effective network management approaches which ensures automated and preventive maintenance, thus relieving the network managers of doing manual investigation to find out many problems that the network might create. The research outcome enhances network availability to users, remote and automated monitoring to network administrators and then increase productivity to cooperate bodies.

Keywords: Throughput, Response Time, Availability, Protocol Analyzer, Multi Router Traffic Grapher (MRTG).

I. **INTRODUCTION**

This study is meant to address congestion that occurs as results of running of different applications in computer networks which leads to performance related issues in most organisations in general and the Internet in particular. Performance issues are very crucial in computer networks, for example when many computers are interconnected with different application running on them, complex interactions arise with unforeseen consequences. This complexity leads to degradation of performance if the system is not managed properly. There is also degradation of performance when imbalances in structural resources, the Central Processing Unit cannot handle the inbound packet quickly enough, thus some will get lost. The lost packets in an attempt to be retransmitted, add delay and bandwidth wastage, and generally cause a reduction in performance. Another problem associated with performance that happens with time - critical application like video and audio is jitter (Tanenbaum, 2003).Subramanian (2000) describes Network performance as nebulous term and defines performance management as management of traffic (data) which involves data monitoring, problem isolation, performance tuning, analysis of statistical data for trends recognition and resource planning.

Manuscript Received on May 07, 2015.

Mr. Seth Okyere Dankwa, Lecturer, Koridua Polytechnic, P.O. Box KF 2803, Koforidua, Ghana, India.

Prof. Daparti Subba Rao, Dean of Computer Science, IIS, Indore, India

According to a technical paper published by Packeteer Company (www.packeteer.com), administering application performance on an Internet link can be quite demanding. There is a drop in productivity and end-user frustration increases when performance becomes unpredictable, slow and inconsistent. IDC affirmed that a typical large United States enterprise spends \$26,626,600 annually on Wide Area Network circuits. Fig 1.1 depicts one of such organisation's top applications running across the Wide Area Network and the percentage of bandwidth consumption for each of the applications.





Fig. 1.1. Distribution of bandwidth consumption per applicatication Before bandwidth increase

SOURCE: www.packeteer.com

As could be imagined, getting more bandwidth has always been the common response to the problem of too much traffic. The Fig 1.2 depicts the increase in bandwidth by the organisation.

Increase Budget by 50% to \$39.9M For More Bandwidth eaming Me .8% - \$3.1% Email 20% - \$7.9M P2F 12%-54 nternet Gam 5% – \$1.9M File Transfe 9% - \$3.6h

Oracle

Citrix 5%-\$1.98



\$14 1M to \$21 2M fo

Fig. 1.2. Distribution Of Bandwidth Consumption Per **Applicatication After Bandwidth Ncrease**

Other 4% - \$1.5M

SOURCE: www.packeteer.com

Published By:



It could be inferred from the Fig 1.2 that the increase in bandwidth has not changed the percentage for the business - critical applications. Since more bandwidth is not the solution, then network managers need more visibility and control to find a solution.

A. problem statement

The Internet in general and computer network in particular is a global infrastructure for information exchange that has transformed the social, economic, and political aspects of our lives. One of the most crucial building blocks of the computer network is a mechanism for resource sharing and management of the performance of the network. When end-hosts access a certain resource (such as a webpage from CNN, a video on YouTube, etc.,) on the Internet, it is important to ensure that they do not overwhelm network elements (such as routers) and are able to efficiently utilize network resources, and achieve fairness in some agreed-upon sense. IT managers and network administrators are working full time to manage data centres, provide new applications and also respond to help desk requests more than ever, yet performance of most network is flaw. There is a need for mechanism to monitor the entire network and troubleshoot problems wherever they are occurring, quickly and efficiently, so that business and other essential IT operations run smoothly.

B. General and Specific Objective

The general objective of the research is to contribute to the general body of knowledge in the area of computer network performance to enhance productivity at workplaces in general and computer network in particular.

To achieve the general objectives, the research addressed the following specific objectives:

- To explore current network management tools available.
- To employ the current network management tool to maximised efficiency and productivity.
- To deploy protocol analyser with remote monitoring software to manage network performance.
- Propose an efficient and effective performance management tool which pulls packets information at all the layers remotely for analysis and resolution of network problems.

C. Significance of Study

The results of this research study will categorically benefit all stakeholders Computer Network of facilities. Users will enjoy more network availability problems identification of as network and troubleshooting become easy. Queue build ups on links are going to be reduced to nearly zero. Productivity at most organisations will increase as more organisations deploy their commercial activities on the computer network. Social network activities on computer network will be enhanced. More software vendors will go into designing delay sensitive applications. The future high speed network envisage by all to manage triple play look bright. With protocol analysers more packets can be managed on the network remotely, hence reducing workload on system administrators.

D. Limitation

All studies have inherent limitations and delimitations. Limitations refer to limiting conditions or restrictive weaknesses. The research uses primary data for it analysis, this call for generation of the data. In studies of computer networks, it is highly expensive if not impossible to deploy real devices for experiments. The experiment is conducted over Local Area Network or Wide Area Network which are owned by organisations and are used for commercial purpose. Getting permission to use them for experiment is sometimes difficult. Internet connectivity is sometimes unreliable.

E. Delimitation

There are several means of handling computer network performance. Some of these are, over provisioning which is increasing capacities of devices attached to the network. This means is very expensive. Another means of ensuring network performance is to employ security approach. This method can also limit the availability of the network. Using congestion control protocols is also another means but these protocols are found at the upper layers of the TCP/IP model leaving the lower layer unattended to. The study is delimited to performance management practices. These include the use of status monitoring tools, traffic monitoring tools, route monitoring tools and protocol analyser which take care of all the protocols in the layered network.

METHODOLOGY

F. Research Design and Method

II.

The researcher used Descriptive method. According to Glass and Hopkins (1984), Descriptive research can be either quantitative or qualitative. Descriptive research involves gathering data that describe events and then organize, tabulate and chart them. It often uses visual aids such as graphs and charts to aid the reader in understanding the data distribution. The researcher in this case used protocol analyser and other network management tools to pull data from the nodes within the network, analysed and depict them in a tabular or chart form.

G. Research Format

The researcher adopted experimental research approach. The experiment is a situation in which a researcher attempts to objectively observe phenomena which are made to occur in a strictly controlled situation where one or more variables are varied and the others are held constant.

H. Key Assumptions

The main assumption is that packet drop within the network indicates that the network is congested. This work is also based on the assumption that the status of the packet reflects the state of the network.

I. Research Technique

The researcher adopted network management tools to come out with the results of the research. The rationale for the choice is as follow: Network management tools are easy to come by. Some are software inbuilt in operating systems and others are hardware device. The following network



management tools were used: Protocol Analyser, Route Tool, Traffic Monitoring Tool, Status Monitoring Monitoring Tool and Multi Router Traffic Grapher (MRTG). The protocol analyser which is the only hardware devise among the monitoring tool is used as a remote monitoring analyser. Data are collected using RMON probe and transmitted as SNMP traffic, the analysis is based on standard parameters like Throughput, Response Time, Availability and Threshold Setting which affect network performance. The Throughput is measured by transmission media capacity or bandwidth, average traffic load, peak load and channel error rate at a particular points in the network. The Response Time is a measure of time between user and server, application availability and burst frame rate and it depicts the rate at which the requested data arrives at the user station (Feldmier, 1997). Leinwand and Conroy (1996) elucidate availability as the percentage of time taken for the accessibility of the network for use and operational and is often measured as mean time between failure. Threshold is set on average utilisation, error rate and overall throughput. For an example, a point at which a certain device processor utilisation affects network performance is 90 percent of the total capacity. The threshold of this device could be set at 80 percent, thus allowing for examination of the network as a performance problem is occurring. Thresholds help to locate and fix a problem that affects network performance.

III. RESULT

In this section we present deployment of performance management tools result that briefly depict how computer network are managed to ensure availability which enhances effective usage of the network.

TABLE: 3.1 Status Monitoring Tools.

Name	Operating System	Description
Ifconfig	UNIX	Obtains and
		configures networking
		interface parameters
		and status.
Ping	UNIX/Windows	Checks the status of
		node/host
nslookup	UNIX Windows	Looks up DNS for
	NT	name IP address
		translation.

SOURCE: from the research

TABLE: 3.2 Traffic Monitoring Tools

Name	Operating	Description
	System	
ping	UNIX Window	Used for measuring round
		trip packet loss
bing	UNIX	Measures point-to-point
		bandwidth of a link.
etherfind	UNIX	Inspects Ethernet packets
snoop	UNIX	Captures and inspects
		network packets
tcpdump	UNIX	Dumps traffic on a network
getethers	UNIX	Acquires all host addresses
		of an Ethernet LAN segment.
iptrace	UNIX	Measures performance of
		gateways



TABLE: 3.3 Route Monitoring Tools

		-
Name	Operating	Description
	System	
netstat	UNIX	Displays the
		contents of
		various network
		related data
		structure.
arp/rarp	UNIX,	Displays and
	Windows95/NT	modifies the
		Internet to
		Ethernet address
		translation
		tables.
traceroute/tracert	UNIX/Windows	Trace route to a
		destination with
		routing delays.

SOURCE: from the research



Fig: 3.1 Protocol Analyser Deployment In Success Trust Microfinance

SOURCE: from the research

The diagram above shows Network Management System Architecture that the researcher used as a performance management approach for Success Trust Microfinance Limited, Koforidua. Devices used are computers, routers, RMON Probes, Protocol Analyzer and a computer with SNMP as the Network Management Station (NMS) on it. There are three key components in this Architecture worth noting. These are:

- Managed device
- Agent Software which runs on managed devices
- Network management station (NMS) software which runs on the manager.

A managed device is a network node that implements an SNMP interface that allows unidirectional (readonly) or bidirectional (read and write) access to nodespecific information. Managed devices exchange node-specific information with the NMSs. The managed devices can be type of device, any including, but not limited to, routers, access servers,



Use of Network Performance Management Tools to Increase Productivity

switches, bridges, hubs, IP telephones, IP video cameras, computer hosts, and printers. An agent is a network-management software module that resides on a managed device. An agent has local knowledge of management information and translates that information to or from an SNMP-specific form. A network station management (NMS) executes applications that monitor and control managed devices. NMSs provide the bulk of the processing and memory resources required for network management. One or more NMSs may exist on any managed network. Below is a collection of screenshots from the protocol analyzer.

Network Probe - [MARKUSPC] - M	ozilla Firefox					
Ele Edit View History Bookmarks	Tools Help					0
🐢 • 🔶 · 🥑 🕝 🏠 🗈 •	ttp://localhost:7030/			-	G . Soogle	9
W ObjectPlanet Wild : H 🔛 Network Pro	obe Login 🗃 JsUnit	test all 🥘 JsUnit Setup 🍺 JsUnit Inde	ex 💽 JsUnit	📋 Objectplan	et 🗋 Test (Objectplanet »
🤤 Disable = 🤱 Cookies = 🖂 CSS = 🚍 Fr	orms - 📕 Images -	() Information • () Miscellaneous • ,	/ Outine -	🕻 Resize + 🥑	Tools • 🔁	View Source - 🔑 Optic
Main 🛃 Traffic Statistics 👖	Traffic Log	💊 Setup 🕜 Help 🔎 Feadb	ack	_	٩	
👫 Summary 🏹 Protocols 👢 Host	s 🛄 🗞 💭 Conve	rsations 😒 Alarms 📑 Profiles	_	-		
Network summary						× - ^ ×
Probe name	Probe descrip	tion Bytes Pa	ckets Ho	osts Conve	rsations	Protocols Cards
MARKUSPC	new description	747 MB 10	78502	339	738	30 30
MARKUSPC, Bytes 💌						~ 8 ×
5.0 MbR/s-					2.4 Mbt/	
4,5 Mbit/s	1			1,9 Mbi	15	2,8 Mbit/s
4.0 Mbf/s				1,4 Mb8/s		3,3 Mbit/s
3,5 M06/6	1					•
2.5 Mb8/s -		4	0	.9 Mbit/s		• 3,8 Mbit/s
2,0 Mb8/s-					1	<u></u> :
1,5 Mbit/s		4 4		D,5 Mbit/s	/	4,3 Mbit/s
1,0 Mbit/s-		6.6			•	•
0,5 Mbit/s				UNDERS		4,7 MDR/s
0 Mbit/s-H	nsdag 5 mar 16:41 - d	onsdag 5 mar 17 41				
					Now 1	Average
Top 5 protocols indexed by Bytes	~			_		3-0X
12 Name	Rort	Description	Tilutor	Packets	Horte	Conversations
athar ID TCP microsoftide	1 2048 6 445	Microsoft DS	457 3 MB	707990	2	2
ether. IP. TCP. dan	1.2048.6.554	Real Time Stream Control Protoct	162 MB	180762	2	2
ether.IP.TCP.http	1.2048.6.80	World Wide Web HTTP	95.3 MB	128220	254	504
ether.IP.TCP.http-alt	1.2048.6.8080	HTTP Alternate (see port 80)	17.6 MB	21228	4	6
ether.IP.TCP.https	1.2048.6.443	http protocol over TLS/SSL	4.6 MB	11490	17	32
Top n protocols chart 💌	_		_	_	_	~ ₹
ether IP.TCP.microsoft-ds		1				479 512 640
ether IP.TCP.rtsp		169 896 977				
ether JP TCP http	99 977 57	2				
ether IP TCP http-at b 18 657 15	51					
ether IP TCP https 0 4 807 514						
0 50 000 00	00 100 000 000 150 0	ióo 000.200 cóo 000 250 cóo 000 300 cóo	000350 000 0	00 400 000 000	450 000 000	500 000 000
		Bytes				
Network summary Ton protocols						
Network summary Top protocols			5			

Fig: 3.2 Main Screen

SOURCE: from the research

The main interface displays the network summary, and with easy access to the most active protocols, hosts, talkers, listeners, and conversations. Using the top menu you can switch between the different parts of the application; the main screen, detailed live network statistics, logged historical traffic statistics, setup, and help.



Fig: 3.3 Statistical application overview SOURCE: from the research

Trigger time	Count Entry type	Entry			Field	Description	
11.09.07 16:31:57	1 Host	straumr.nrk.no			Packets		1
11.09.07 16:31:52	1 Host	markuspc			Packets		
11.09.07 16:31:41	1 Protocol	ether IP TCP rtsp	,		Packets		
11.09.07 17:26:05	1 Protocol	ether P TCP http			Bytes		
11.09.07 17:26:28	1 Ethernet	All packets			Bytes		
11.09.07 17:28:13	1 Conversation protoco	straumr.nrk.no -	> markuspc - ether	IP.TCP.rtsp	Bytes		
11.09.07 17:27:56	1 Host protocol	markuspc - ethe	r IP.TCP.rtsp		Bytes in		
11.09.07 17:27:54	1 Host protocol	markuspc - ethe	r IP. TCP. rtsp		Bytes in		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
11.09.07 17:27:49	1 Host protocol	markuspc - ethe	r IP.TCP.rtsp		Bytes in		
11.09.07 17:30.28	1 Conversation	markuspc -> wh	vw.objectplanet.co	m	Protocols		
11.09.07 17:30.21	1 Conversation	www.objectpla	net.com -> markusp	50 SC	Protocols		
∢							Þ
Intervention	Entry		> > < Field	Description			
Cetined alarms Entry type Protocol	Entry ether .P.TCP.rtsp	 >	> > < Field	Description			Severity
Contract alarms	Entry ether IP.TCP.rtsp markuspc		 > < Field Packets Packets 	Description			Severty Information
Entry type Protocol Host Host	Entry ether IP.TCP.rtsp merkuspo stroumer.nrk.no	a	 > < Field Packets Packets Packets 	Description			Severity Informat Critical Serious
Contract and a service a servic	Entry ether.P.TCP.rtsp markuspc streum.rnk.no ether.P.TCP.http	3	> > < Field Packets Packets Packets Bytes	Description			Severity Informati Critical Serious Worming
Entry type Protocol Host Host Protocol Ethernet	Entry ether IP:TCP:rtsp markuspc stream rnkino ether IP:TCP:http All packets	a	 > < Field Packets Packets Packets Bytes Bytes 	Description	_		Severity Informat Critical Serious Womming Serious
Entry type Protocol Host Protocol Ethernet Ethernet	Entry ether: P.TCP rtsp markuspc streum rnk no ether: P.TCP http All packets Overstaced pockets)	 > < Field Packets Packets Packets Bytes Bytes 	Description			Severity Informat Critical Serious Worming Serious Critical Critical Critical Critical
Entry type Protocol Host Protocol Ethernet Ethernet Ethernet Host Protocol Ethernet Ethernet	Entry ether IP-TCP rtsp markuspc strawer mk no ether IP-TCP htp All packets IOversized packets markuspc - ether IP-TCP rtsp	3	 > < Field Packets Packets Packets Bytes Bytes Bytes in 	Description			Severity Informat Critical Serious Vierning Serious Serious
Entry type Protocol Host Host Enternet Ethernet Ethernet Hostprotocol Hostprotocol Hostprotocol Hostprotocol	Entry etter /P.TCP.rtsp metkuspc straumr n/k no etter /P.TCP.htp All packets Overraized packets Overraized packets metkuspc - etter P.TCP.rts metkuspc - etter P.TCP.rts		 > c Field Packets Packets Packets Bytes Bytes Bytes in Bytes in 	Description Add alarm			Severity Informat Critical Serious Serious Serious Anformat Informat
Control alarms Control alarms Control alarms Control alarms Control Contro Control Control	Entry ether PTCP rtsp merkuspo stouwer mkino other PTCP rtsp All packets Oversized packets merkuspo - ether PTCP rtsp merkuspo - ether PTCP rtsp merkuspo - ether PTCP rtsp	р р р р	 > c Field Packets Packets Bytes Bytes Bytes in Bytes in 	Description Add silerm Edit silerm			Severity Informat Critical Serious Verring Informat Verring
Correctation protocol	Entry ether.P.TCP.1tsp merkuspc streamer.nrk.no ether.P.TCP.htsp All packets Oversized packats merkuspc - ether P.TCP.hts merkuspc - ether P.TCP.hts merkuspc - ether P.TCP.hts streamer.nrk.no - smetuspc	P P • ether P TCP rts	 > c Field Packets Packets Packets Bytes Bytes in Bytes in Bytes in Bytes in 	Description Add alarm Edit Johrn Delete alarm			Severity Informat Critical Serious Serious Serious Informat Informat Werning Werning
Defined alarms Defined alarms Defined alarms Defined alarms Defined alarms Protocol Host Protocol Bherned Etherned Etherned Host protocol Host protocol Conversation protocol Conversation	Entry ether P.TCP.tsp merkuspo struurer nrk no ether P.TCP.tsp All packets Oversatzed peotoct merkuspo - ether P.TCP.tsp merkuspo - ether P.TCP.ts struurer nrk no > merkuspo struurer nrk no > merkuspo	P · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Description Add altirm Edd altirm Delete alarm Help			Severity Informat Critical Serious Verricus Informat Warming Verring Serious

Fig: 3.4 Alarms

SOURCE: from the research

This screen shows the display from the alarm tab, where all the defined and triggered alarms are displayed. It can easily be viewed, edited and deleted both defined and triggered alarms using the alarms tab.

Sourcemost	« Source address	Destination host	< Dest address	e Packets e	Bytes e	Protocols «	First seen	Last se
Swww.objectplanet.com	195.159.149.2	🖳 markuspo	10.0.2.33	1 415	1,4 MB	2	ti 14:29:32	ti 15:21
212 172 242 146		💑 markuspo	10.0.2.33					
👢 markuspc	10.0.2.33	尾 straumr.nrk.no	160.68.101.164	8 542	517,9 KB	1	ti 14:27:14	ti 15:21
2, hstno.tradedoubler.com	217.212.240.177	R markuspc	10.0.2.33	114	135,8 KB	1	ti 15:09:51	1115:10
👢 markuspc	10.0.2.33	State www.objectplanet.com	195.159.149.2	959	105,3 KB	2	ti 14:29:32	1/15:21
2 markuspc	10.0.2.33	212.172.242.146	212.172.242.146	575	70,2 KB	1	ti 15:09:46	615:10
👢 by2.cmega.contacts.msn.	(207.46.113.220	👢 markuspc	10.0.2.33	44	57,0 KB	1	ti 15:05:48	ti 15:06
Standard Com	64.233.183.103	👢 markuspc	10.0.2.33	69	51,8 KB	1	ti 14:27:47	615:13
Swww.google-analytics.co	209.85.167.99	🜷 markuspo	10.0.2.33	80	49,7 KB	1	ti 14:30:29	ti 15:21
2, video.sport1.de	62.144.160.198	Restaurant Contract Contrac	10.0.2.33	34	46,7 KB	1	ti 15:09:51	N 15:05
9 www.moosle.com 111/111	64 799 599 69	🔋 mariniero	40.0.3.93	58 30 579	и р.т.к р 23,4 МВ	121	614 07:00	644.3
212.172.242.146	212.172.242.146	markuspc	10.0.2.33	651	655284	1	ti 15:09:46	ti 15:10
	<u> </u>							
100.05 Rifered Hotal	21	2.172.3421.66 - manuage 655 234 Bytes		<u> </u>	E w E 21 E m E hs E m E hs E m E w E w	ww.objectplan 2.172.242.146 rhuspc - strau tro.tradedoubl rhuspc - strau rhuspc - 212.1 2.ornega.conta ww.google.con	et.com - markuspc - markuspc mr.nkrio vobjechkanet.con 72.242.146 cts.man.com - ma n - markuspc aktics.com - markuspc	c f

Fig: 3.5 Conversation

SOURCE: from the research

This screen depicts the display from the conversations tab which lists all the conversations taking place on your network along with their detailed traffic statistics. Selection of the pie chart option mirrors the data listed in the table.



Fig: 3.6 Protocols

SOURCE: from the research



This screen shows the display from the protocols tab where all the protocols and their traffic details are displayed. The researcher selected the througput chart and the pop3 protocol and the traffic for this protocol over time is displayed.



Fig: 3.7 Hosts

SOURCE: from the research

The screen above shows the display from the hosts tab where all the hosts and their traffic details are displayed. The default chart is selected which mirrors the data in the table as a bar chart. The researcher has right-clicked the first entry and is about to drill down and show all the protocols used by the selected host.

IV. DISCUSSION

Subramanian (2000) describes Network performance performance nebulous term and defines as management as management of traffic (data) which involves data monitoring, problem isolation, performance tuning, analysis of statistical data for trends recognition and resource planning. The current essential performance management tools explored by the researcher are either part of operating systems or available as add-on applications that support in obtaining network parameters or diagnosing network problems. The popular ones are categorised under traffic monitoring, status route monitoring, and monitoring as depicted in tables and figures at the result section of the research. The protocol analyser as shown in figures 3.1,3.2,3.3,3.4,3.5,3.6 and 3.7 is a remote monitoring analyser that collects data using RMON probe. Gathered data are pre-analysed by the RMON and transmitted as SNMP traffic. The result of data gathered are used to perform diagnostic problems and network management functions such as load monitoring, capacity planning, traffic reroute planning and traffic congestion.

v. CONCLUSION

The research has revealed that performance management concept is one of the most efficient and effective network management approaches which

ensure automated and preventive maintenance, thus manager of doing manual relieving the network investigation to find out many problems that the network might create. Example is setting up of thresholds, collection and analysis of statistical data of transmission for recognising trends packet and Capacity planning resource planning. could be implemented through information from protocol analyser on the network. Performance management technique as mentioned and deployed in the research like traffic shaping and buffering could also be used to improve quality of service required by video and audio.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is an undisputable fact that a research of this nature cannot be carried out single-handedly. In line with this, I wish to thank almighty God for bring me to this far and express my appreciation and indebtedness to all who contributed in diverse ways to make this research successful.My first gratitude goes to Professor Reynolds Okai, Rector of Koforidua Polytechnic for giving me opportunity to serve as seminar co-ordinator for School of Applied Science. This appointment has sharpen and deepen my interest in research. My sincere gratitude and appreciation also go Professor Clement Dzidonu and Professor Francis Allotey both of Accra Institute of Technology for their invaluable contribution and pedagogical guidance towards my academic progression and professional career. I also wish to recognise Prof. Daparti Subba Rao who is my supervisor for my Phd research for his invaluable contribution to my Phd work in general and this paper in particular. I also wish to acknowledge Professor John Tindle of the School of Computing and Technology, University of Sunderland, UK for always be in touch and encourage me to do more.I also wish to acknowledge staff of Koforidua Polytechnic, especially Computer Science Department for an excellent working relation. Finally, I wish to express my heartfelt appreciation to my family for their moral support and prayer.

REFERENCES

- Alizadeh M, Greenberg A, Maltz D, Padhye J, Patel P, Prabhakar B, [1] Sengupta S, and Sridharan M.(2010) DCTCP: Efficient Packet Transport for the Commoditized Data Center. In ACM SIGCOMM.
- Andrew L., Floyd S., and Gang W. (2008) Common TCP Evaluation [2] progress), Suite. In Internet draft (work in http://netlab.caltech.edu/lachlan/abstract/draft-irtf-tmrg-tests-00.html.
- Apoorva J. and Konstantinos P. (2008) Achievable Rate Region of [3] Wireless Multi-hop Networks with 802.11 Scheduling. IEEE Transactions on Networking.
- Balakrishnan H., Dukkipati N., McKeown N., Tomlin C (2007). [4] "Stability Analayis of ExplicitCongestion Control Protocols," IEEE Communications Letters.
- Falk A., KatabiD. and PryadkinY. (2007) "Specification for the [5] Explicit Control Protocol (XCP)", draft-falk-xcp-03.txt (work in progress).
- Feldmier, J. (1997) Network Traffic Management. Unix Review [6]
- Floyd S. (2003)."HighSpeed TCP for Large Congestion [7] Windows,"RFC 3649,http://www.icir.org/floyd/hstcp.html, December 2003.5.9.23.52
- Floyd S. and Jacobson V. (1993), "Random early detection gateways [8] for congestion avoidance" ACM Transactions on Networking, vol. 1, pp. 397-413
- Leinwand, A. and Conroy K. F. (1996) Network Management. A [9] Practical Perspective. Second Edition. New York: Addison- Wesley.
- [10] Mikalsen, A. and Borgesen, P. (2002) Local Area Network

& Sciences Publication Pvt. Ltd.

Published By:



Management, Design and Security. A Practical Approach. New York: John Wiley.

- [11] Oetiker, T. and Rand, D. (1997) *Multi Router Traffic Grapher*. http://ee-staff.ethz.ch/~oetiker/webtools/mrtg/mrtg.html
- [12] Subramanian, M. (2000) Network Management Principles and Practice. New York: Addison-Wesley.
- [13] Tanenbaum, A. S. (2003) *Computer Networks*. Fourth Edition. New Jersey: Prentice Hall PTR.

PERSONAL PROFILE

Mr. Seth Okyere - Dankwa is a Network Engineer with more than 10 and 4 years working experience in industry and teaching respectively. I hold Masters degree in Network Systems from University of Sunderland in UK and currently pursuing Phd in Information Technology at Open University in Malaysia. I currently lecture at Department of Computer Science at Koforidua Polytechnic in Ghana.

