Fuzzy i.V_f-sets and fuzzy i. Λ_f -sets

S. Tharmar

Abstract. Recently, El-Naschie has shown that the notion of fuzzy topology may be relevant to quantum particle physics in connection with string theory and E-infinity space time theory. In this paper, we define A-sets and V-sets in fuzzy ideal topological spaces and discuss their properties. Also define I. Asets and I. Vsets in fuzzy ideal topological spaces and discuss their properties.

Keywords- El-Naschie, E-infinity, topological.

I. **INTRODUCTION**

Fuzziness is one of the important and useful concepts in the modern scientific studies. This is because of the fact that since Zadeh first introduced the notion of fuzzy sets applications of this idea was made by many authors. Throughout the development of fuzzy sets, theory many interesting phenomena have been observed.

The topic of an ideal topological spaces was studied intensively by several authors [2, 7]. In [8], Mahmoud and in [9], Sarkar independently presented some of the ideal concepts in the fuzzy trend and studied many of their properties. The concept of fuzzy topology may be relevant to quantum particle physics particularly in connection with strong theory and E-infinity theory [3, 4, 5, 6]. In this paper, we define Λ_{f} -sets and V_{f} -sets in fuzzy ideal topological spaces and discuss their properties. Also define

 $I.\Lambda_{f}$ -sets and $I.V_{f}$ -sets in fuzzy ideal topological spaces and discuss their properties.

II. **PRELIMINARIES**

Throughout this paper, X represents a nonempty fuzzy set and fuzzy subset A of X, denoted by $A \leq X$, then is characterized by a membership function in the sense of Zadeh [10]. The basic fuzzy sets are the empty set, the whole set and the class of all fuzzy subsets of X which will be denoted by 0, 1 and I^X , respectively. A subfamily τ of I^X is called fuzzy topology due to Chang [1]. By (X, τ) or X, we mean a fuzzy topological space in Chang's sense. A fuzzy point in X with support $x \in X$ and value $\alpha(0 < \alpha \le 1)$ is denoted by x_a .

For a fuzzy set A in X, cl(A), int(A) and 1 -

A will, respectively, denote the closure, interiorand complement of A. A nonempty collection of fuzzy sets I of a set X is called a fuzzy ideal [8] if and only if (1) if A \in I and A \leq B, then

B \in I, (2) if A \in I and B \in I, then A \vee B \in I.

The triple (X, τ , I) means a fuzzy topological space with a fuzzy ideal I and fuzzy topology τ . For (X, τ , I), the fuzzy local function of $A \leq X$ with respect to τ and I denoted by $A^{?}(\tau, I)$ (briefly $A^{?}$) and is defined $A^{?}(\tau, I)$

Manuscript Received on December 2014.

 $= \bigvee \{ x \in X : A \land U \in I /$

While $A^{?}$ is the union of the fuzzy points such that if $U \in \tau$ and E \in I, then there is at least one y \in X for which U(y) + A(y) - 1 > E(y). Fuzzy closure operator of a fuzzy set A in (X, τ , I) is defined as cl[?](A) = A V A[?]. In (X, τ , I), the collection $\tau^{2}(I)$ means an extension of fuzzy topological space than τ via fuzzy ideal which is constructed by considering the class β

for every $U \in \tau$ }.

= {U - E : U $\in \tau$, E \in I} as a base. This topology is considered as generalization of the ordinary one.

Definition 2.1. A fuzzy subset A of a fuzzy topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be fuzzy ?-closed if $A^? \leq A$. The complement of fuzzy ?-closed set is fuzzy ?-open.

III. FUZZY A-SETS AND FUZZY V-SETS

Definition 3.1. Let A be a fuzzy subset of a fuzzy topological space (X, τ) . We define the subsets A_f^{\wedge} and A_f^{\vee} as follows: (1) $A_f^{\wedge} = \vee \{ U : A \leq U \text{ and } U \text{ is fuzzy open} \}.$ (2) $A^{\vee}_f = \wedge \{F : F \leq A \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy closed} \}.$

Lemma 3.2. For fuzzy subsets A, B and A_i , $i \in \Delta$, of a fuzzy topological space (X, τ) the following properties hold:

- (1) $A \leq A^{\Lambda}_{f}$.
- (2) $A \leq B \Rightarrow A^{\wedge}_{f} \leq B_{f}^{\wedge}$.
- (3) $(A \wedge f) \wedge f = A \wedge f$.
- (4) If A is fuzzy open then $A = A^{\wedge}_{f}$.
- (5) $\forall \{(A_i)^{\wedge_f} : i \in \Delta\} = (\forall \{A_i : i \in \Delta\})_{f}^{\wedge}$. (6) $(\forall \{A_i : i \in \Delta\})_{f}^{\wedge}$ $\Delta\})^{h_{f}} \leq \Lambda\{(A_{i})^{h_{f}}: i \in \Delta\}.$
- (7) $(1 A)^{h_f} = 1 A^{v_f}$.

Proof. (1), (2), (4) and (6) are immediate consequences of Definition 3.1.

(3) From (1) and (2) we have $A^{\wedge_f} \leq (A^{\wedge_f})^{\wedge_f}$. If $x_{\lambda} \in A^{\wedge_f}$, then there exists a fuzzy open set

U such that A \leq U and $x_{\lambda} \in /U$. Hence $A_f^{\Lambda} \leq U$ by Definition 3.1 and so $x \in (A^{\wedge}_{f})^{\wedge}_{f}$. Thus

 $(A \wedge f) \wedge f \leq A \wedge f$. Hence $(A \wedge f) \wedge f = A \wedge f$.

Published By:

(5) Let
$$A = V\{A_i: i \in \Delta\}$$
. Therefore
 $A_i \leq V\{A_i: i \in \Delta\}$. By (2) $(A_i)^{\Lambda_f} \leq (V \{A_i: i \in \Delta\})^{\Lambda_f}_{f}$. Hence $V\{(A_i)^{\Lambda_f}: i \in \Delta\} \leq (V \{A_i: i \in \Delta\})_f$.
 $\{A_i: i \in \Delta\})_f$. Suppose $x^{\lambda} \notin V\{(A_i)^{\Lambda_f}_{f:i}: i \in \Delta\}$

 $\in \Delta$, then for each i $\in \Delta$, there exists a fuzzy open set U_i such that $A_i \leq U_i$ and $x_{\lambda} \in U_i$. If $U = \vee \{U_i : i \in \Delta\}$ then U is fuzzy open set with $A \leq U$ and $x_{\lambda} \in /U$. Therefore $x_{\lambda} \in /A^{\wedge}_{f}$. Hence $(V\{A_i : i \in \Delta\})^{\wedge}_f \leq V\{(A_i)_f : i \in \Delta\}.$



S. Tharmar, Department of Mathematics, Mohamed Sathak Engineering College, Kilakarai, Tamil Nadu, India.

(7)
$$(1 - A)_f^{\wedge} = \wedge \{ U : 1 - A \le U \text{ and } U \text{ is } \}$$

fuzzy open} $= 1 - V \{1 - U : 1 - U \le A \text{ and } 1 - U \text{ is fuzzy closed} \}$ $= 1 - A_{f}^{v}$.

Remark 3.3. In Lemma 3.2, the equality in (6) does not hold as per the following example.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and A, B be fuzzy sets of X defined as follows : A(a) = 0.3, A(b) = 0.6, A(c) = 0.9, B(a)= 0.2, B(b) = 0.7, B(c) = 0.9, C(a) = 0.2, C(b) = 0.6, C(c) = 0.0.9. We put $\tau = \{0, C, 1\}$ and $I = \{0\}$. Then $A^{\wedge}_f = 1$, $B^{\wedge}_f = 1$ and $(A \wedge B)^{\wedge}_f = C$. Therefore $(A \land B)_f^{\land} = C \lneq 1 = A_f^{\land} \land B_f^{\land}$

Lemma 3.5. For subsets A, B and A_i , $i \in \Delta$, of a fuzzy

FUZZY I.V_f-SETS AND FUZZY I.A_f-SETS 3

topological space (X, τ) the following properties hold:

- (1) $A^{\vee}_{f} \leq A$
- (2) $A \leq B \Rightarrow A^{\vee}_{f} \leq B_{f}^{\vee}$.
- (3) $(A \lor f) \lor f = A \lor f$.
- (4) If A is fuzzy closed then $A = A_{V_f}$.
- (5) $(\Lambda\{A_i: i \in \Delta\})^{\vee} = \Lambda\{(A_i)^{\vee} : i \in \Delta\}.$ (6) $\vee\{(A_i)^{\vee} : i \in \Delta\}$ $\Delta\} \le (\mathsf{V}\{A_i \colon i \in \Delta\})^{\mathsf{v}_f}.$

Proof. (1), (2), (4) and (6) are immediate consequences of Definition 3.1.

(3) From (1) and (2) we have $(A_{r_f})_f \leq A_f^v$. If $x_{\lambda} \in A_f^v$ then for some fuzzy closed set F \leq A and $x_{\lambda} \in$ F. Then F $\leq A^{\vee}_{f}$ by Definition 3.1.

Since F is fuzzy closed, again by Definition 3.1, $x\lambda \in (A \lor f)$)Vf.

(5) Let A=A{A_i: i $\in \Delta$ }. By (2) we have $(A{A_i: i \in \Delta})^{v_f} <$ $\wedge \{(A_i)^{\vee}_f : i \in \Delta\}$. If $x^{\lambda} \in \wedge \{(A_i)^{\vee}_f : i \in \Delta\}$, then for each $i \in \Delta$, there exists a fuzzy closed set F_i such that $F_i \leq$

A_i and $x_{\lambda} \in F_i$. If $F = \wedge \{F_i : i \in \Delta\}$ then F is fuzzy closed with F \leq A and $x_{\lambda} \in$ F. Therefore $x_{\lambda} \in A^{\vee}_{f}$. Hence $\wedge \{(A_{i})^{\vee}_{f} : i \in \Delta\} \leq$ $(\Lambda\{A_i: i \in \Delta\})^{\vee}_f.$

Remark 3.6. In Lemma 3.5, the equality in (6) does not hold. It is shown in the following example.

Example 3.7. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and A, B be fuzzy sets of X defined as follows : A(a) = 0.3, A(b) = 0.6, A(c) = 0.9, B(a)= 0.2, B(b) = 0.7, B(c) = 0.9, C(a) = 0.3, C(b) = 0.7, C(c) =0.9, D(a) = 0.7, D(b) = 0.3, D(c) = 0.1. We put $\tau = \{0, D, 0\}$ 1} and I = $\{0\}$. Then $A^{\vee}_{f} = 0$,

 $B_{f}^{\vee} = 0 \text{ and } (A \vee B)_{f}^{\wedge} = C.$ Therefore $A_{f}^{\vee} \vee B_{f}^{\vee} = 0 \lneq C =$ $(A \vee B)^{\wedge_f}$.

Definition 3.8. A fuzzy subset A of a fuzzy topological space (X, τ) is said to be a

(1) \wedge_f -set if $A = A^{\wedge_f}$.

(2) V_f -set if $A = A_{v_f}$.

Remark 3.9. 0 and 1 are always \wedge_{f} -sets and \vee_{f} -sets.

Theorem 3.10. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space. Then the following hold.

- (1) Arbitrary union of Λ_{f} -sets is a Λ_{f} -set.
- (2) Arbitrary intersection of V_f -sets is a V_f -set.

Proof. (1) Let $\{A_i : i \in \Delta\}$ be a family of \land_i sets. If $A = \lor \{A_i : i \in \Delta\}$ $\in \Delta$ }, then by Lemma 3.2, $A^{\wedge}_{f} = \vee \{(A_{i})^{\wedge}_{f} : i \in \Delta\} = \vee \{A_{i} : i \in \Delta\}$ Δ = A. Hence A is a Λ_{f} -set.

(2) Let $\{A_i : i \in \Delta\}$ be a family of \bigvee_{t} -sets. If $A = \land \{A_i : i \in A\}$ Δ }, then by Lemma 3.5, $A^{\vee}_{f} = \wedge \{(A_{i})^{\vee}_{f} : i \in \Delta\} = \wedge \{A_{i} : i \in A\}$ Δ = A. Hence A is a V_f-set.

Theorem 3.11. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space. Then the following hold.

(1) Arbitrary intersection of Λ_{f} -sets is a Λ_{f} -set.

(2) Arbitrary union of V_f -sets is a V_f -set.

Proof. (1) Let $\{A_i : i \in \Delta\}$ be a family of Λ_i sets. If $A = \Lambda\{A_i : A_i : A_i \in \Delta\}$ $i \in \Delta$ }, then by Lemma

3.2, $A^{\wedge}_{f} \leq \wedge \{(A_{i})^{\wedge}_{f} : i \in \Delta\} = \wedge \{A_{i} : i \in \Delta\} = A$. Again by Lemma 3.2, $A \le A^{\wedge_f}$. Hence A is a

 Λ_f -set.

(2) Let $\{A_i : i \in \Delta\}$ be a family of V_f -sets. If $A = V\{A_i : i \in \Delta\}$ $\in \Delta$ }, then by Lemma 3.5, $A^{\vee}_{f} \ge \vee \{(A_i)^{\vee}_{f}: i \in \Delta\} = \vee \{A_i: i \in \Delta\}$ Δ = A. Again by Lemma 3.5, $A_f^{\vee} \leq A$. Hence A is a V_f-set.

IV. GENERALIZED AF-SETS AND VF-SETS IN FUZZY IDEAL TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Definition 4.1. A fuzzy subset A of a fuzzy ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be

- (1) fuzzy I. Λ_f -set if $A^{\wedge}_f \leq F$ whenever $A \leq F$ and F is fuzzy ?-closed.
- (2) fuzzy I. \vee_f -set if 1–A is a fuzzy I. \wedge_f set.

Proposition 4.2. Let (X, τ, I) be a fuzzy ideal topological space. Then the following hold:

- (1) Every Λ_{f} -set is a fuzzy I. Λ_{f} -set but not conversely.
- (2) Every V_f -set is a fuzzy I. V_f -set but not conversely.

Proposition 4.3. Every fuzzy open set is fuzzy $I.\Lambda_f$ -set but not conversely.

Proof. Let $A \leq F$ where F is fuzzy ?-closed. If A is fuzzy open, then $A^{\wedge}_{f} = A \leq F$. Hence A is fuzzy I. \wedge_{f} -set.

Example 4.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and A be a fuzzy set of X defined as follows : A(a) = 0.3, A(b) = 0.6, A(c) = 0.9. We put $\tau = \{0, 1\}$ and $I = \{0\}$. Then fuzzy ?-closed sets are 0 and 1. Therefore A is fuzzy I. Λ_{f} -set but not Λ_{f} -set and A^{c} is fuzzy I. V_f -set but not V_f -set.

Published By:



Theorem 4.5. A fuzzy subset A of a fuzzy ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is a fuzzy $I.\vee_f$ -set if and only if $U \le A^{\vee_f}$ whenever $U \le A$ and U is fuzzy ?-open.

Proof. Suppose that A is a fuzzy $I.V_{f}$ -set of X and U is a fuzzy ?-open set such that U \leq A. Then $1-A\leq 1-U$ and 1-U is fuzzy ?-closed.

Since 1-A is a fuzzy I.A_f-set, we have $(1 - A)_f^{\wedge} \leq 1 - U_{U \text{ and so } 1} - A_f^{\vee} \leq 1 - U_{U, \text{ by Lemma}}$ 3.2. Therefore, $U \leq A_f^{\vee}$.

Conversely, assume that $U \le A^{\vee_f}$ whenever $U \le A$ and U is fuzzy ?-open. Suppose $1-A \le F$ and F is fuzzy ?-closed. Then, $1-F \le A$ and 1-F is fuzzy ?-open. Therefore, $1-F \le A^{\vee_f}$ and so $1-A_f^{\vee} \le F$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $(1 - A)^{\wedge_f} \le F$. Hence 1-A is a fuzzy $I. \wedge_f$ -set and so A is a fuzzy $I. \vee_f$ -set

Theorem 4.6. Let A be a fuzzy subset of a fuzzy ideal topological space (X, τ, I) such that A^{\vee_f} is a fuzzy ?-closed set. If F = 1, whenever F is fuzzy ?-closed and $A^{\vee_f} \land (1-A) \leq F$, then A is a fuzzy I. \vee_f set

Proof. Let U be a fuzzy ?-open set such that U \leq A. Since A^{v_f} is fuzzy ?-closed, $A^{v_f} \wedge (1-U)$ is fuzzy ?-closed. By hypothesis, $A^{v_f} \vee (1-U) = 1$.

This implies that $U \le A^{\vee_f}$. Hence A is a fuzzy I.V_f set.

The set of all fuzzy I.V_f-sets is denoted by $D_{f\mathcal{I}}^{\forall}$ and the set of all fuzzy I.V_f-sets by $D_{f\mathcal{I}}^{\uparrow}$

Definition 4.7. Let (X, τ, I) be a fuzzy ideal topological space and A be a fuzzy subset of X. Then f-cl₁^(A) = \land {U : $A \leq U$ and $U \in D_{\uparrow I}$ } and f-int^v_I(A) = \lor {F : $F \leq A$ and $F \in D_{J}^{v}_{I}$ }.

Theorem 4.8. Let (X, τ, I) be a fuzzy ideal topological space and A_i , $i \in \Delta$ be fuzzy subsets of X. Then the following $i \in D^{\wedge}_{IT}$ hold.

- owing $i \in D_{f\mathcal{I}}^{\wedge}$ hold. (1) If $j \in A$ for all $i \in \Delta$, then $\lor \{A_i : i \in \Delta D_{fl}$.
- (2) If $A_i \in D_f^{\vee}$ for all $i \in \Delta$, then $\wedge \{A_i : i \in \Delta\} \in D_f^{\vee}$.

Proof. (1) Let $A^i \in D_{f\mathcal{I}}^{\wedge}$ for all $i \in \Delta$. Suppose $\vee \{A_i : i \in \Delta\} \leq F$ and F is fuzzy ?-closed. Then $A_i \leq F$ for all $i \in \Delta$. So $(A_i)^{\wedge} \leq F$ for all $i \in \Delta$.

Therefore, $\forall \{(A_i)_f^{\wedge} : i \in \Delta\} \leq_{\text{F. By Lemma}}$

3.2, $(\vee\{A_i: i \in \Delta\})^{\wedge_f} = \vee\{(A_i)^{\wedge_f}: i \in \Delta\} \leq F$. So $\vee\{A_i: i \in \Delta\} \in D_f^{\wedge_I}$.

(2) Let $A^{i} \in D_{f\mathcal{I}}^{\vee}$ for all $i \in \Delta$. Then, $1-A_{i} \in D_{f^{\wedge}I}$ for all $i \in \Delta$. So, by (1), $\forall \{1-A_{i} : i \in \Delta\} \in D_{f^{\wedge}I}$. Hence $1-\wedge \{A_{i} : i \in \Delta\} \in D_{f^{\wedge}I}$ and so $\wedge \{A_{i} : i \in \Delta\} \in D_{f^{\wedge}I}$

FUZZY I.V,-SETS AND FUZZY I.A,-SETS 5

em 4.9. Let (X, τ, I) be a fuzzy ideal topological space and

A, *B* be fuzzy subset of *X*. Then $f-cl_1^{\wedge}$ is a kuratowski closure operator on *X*.

Proof. (1) Since $0^{h}_{f} = 0$, $0 \in D_{f}^{h}_{I}$ and so $f - cl_{I}^{h}(0) = 0$.

(2) From the definition of $f^{-cl}\mathcal{I}(A)$, it is clear that $A \leq f^{-cl}\mathcal{I}(A)$.

(3) We have {U : AVB \leq U, U ∈ D_{f1}^{\wedge} } $A \leq U \cup C = D_{f1}^{\wedge}$ } So $f - cl_T^{\wedge}(A) \leq f - cl_T^{\wedge}(A \lor_B)$

Similarly, $f \cdot cl_1^{\wedge}(B) \leq f \cdot cl_1^{\wedge}(A \vee B)$. Therefore, $f \cdot cl_1^{\wedge}(A) \vee f \cdot cl_1^{\wedge}(B) \leq f \cdot cl_1^{\wedge}(A \vee B)$. On the other hand, if $x_{\lambda} \notin f \cdot cl_1^{\wedge}(A) \vee f \cdot cl_1^{\wedge}(B)$, then $x_{\lambda} \in /fcl_1^{\wedge}(A)$. So there exists $U_1 \in D_f \wedge I$ such that $A \leq U_1$ but $x_{\lambda} \in /U_1$. Similarly, there exists $U^2 \in D_{f\mathcal{I}}^{\wedge}$ such that $B \leq U_2$ but $x_{\lambda} \in /U_2$. Let U

= $U_1 \vee U_2$. Then, by Theorem 4.8, $U \in D_{f^1}$ such that $A \vee B \le U$ but $x_{\lambda} \in /U$. So $x_{\lambda} \in /f - cl_1 \land (A \vee B)$. Therefore, $f - cl_1 \land (A \vee B) \le f - cl_1 \land (A) \vee f - cl_1 \land (B)$ which implies that $f - cl_1 \land (A \vee B) = f - cl_1 \land (A) \vee f - cl_1 \land (B)$.

(4) Now {U : $A \le U$, $U \in D_{f^{\Lambda}I}$ } = {U : $fcl_{I^{\Lambda}}(A) \le U$, $U \in D_{f^{\Lambda}I}$ } by the definition of $fcl_{I^{\Lambda}}$ operator and so $f-cl_{I^{\Lambda}}(A) = f-cl_{I^{\Lambda}}(f-cl_{I^{\Lambda}}(A))$. Hence $f-cl_{I^{\Lambda}}$ is a kuratowski closure operator.

Definition 4.10. Let (X, τ, I) be a fuzzy topological space and A be a fuzzy subset of X. Then A is said to be fuzzy I_g closed (briefly f. I_g closed) set if $A^2 \leq U$ whenever $A \leq U$ and U is fuzzy open.

The complement of f- I_g -closed set is f- I_g open.

Theorem 4.11. Let (X, τ, I) be a fuzzy ideal topological space. Then $1-f-cl_1^{\wedge}(A) = fint^{\vee}(1-A)$ for every fuzzy subset A of X.

Theorem 4.12. A fuzzy subset A of a fuzzy ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is an f-I_sclosed set if and only if $cl^{?}(A) \leq A^{\wedge}_{f}$.

Proof. Suppose that A is a f-I_g-closed subset of X. Let $x_{\lambda} \in cl^{?}(A)$. Suppose $x^{\lambda} \notin A_{f}^{\Lambda}$.

Then there exists a fuzzy open set U containing A such that $x_{\lambda} \in /U$. Since A is a *f*-I_gclosed set, A \leq U and U is fuzzy open, cl²(A) \leq U and so $x_{\lambda} \in /cl^{2}(A)$, a contradiction. Therefore, cl²(A) $\leq A^{\Lambda}_{f}$.

Conversely, suppose $\operatorname{cl}^{*}(A) \leq A_{f}^{\wedge}$. If $A \leq U$ and U is fuzzy open, then $A^{\wedge_{f}} \leq U_{f}^{\wedge} = U$ and so $\operatorname{cl}^{?}(A) \leq A^{\wedge_{f}} \leq U$. Therefore, A is $f \cdot I_{g}$ -closed.

Corollary 4.13. A fuzzy subset A of a fuzzy ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is f-I_g-open if and only if $A^{\vee}_{f} \leq int^{?}(A)$.

Proof. A is *f*-I_g-open subset of X iff 1–A is *f*-I_g-closed if and only if $cl^2(1-A) \le (1 - A)^{\wedge_f}$ if and only if $1-int^2(A) \le 1-A^{\vee_f}$ if and only if $A^{\vee_f} \le int^2(A)$.



Theor

Theorem 4.14. Let (X, τ, I) be a fuzzy ideal topological space and A be a fuzzy subset of X. If A^{A}_{f} is a f-I_g-closed set, then A is a f-I_g-closed set.

Proof. Let A^{Λ_f} be f-I_g-closed set. By Theorem 4.12, $cl^2(A^{\Lambda_f}) \le (A^{\Lambda_f})^{\Lambda_f} = A^{\Lambda_f}$. Since $A \le A^{\Lambda_f}$ and so $cl^2(A) \le cl^2(A^{\Lambda_f}) \le A^{\Lambda_f}$. Again, by Theorem 4.12, A is f-I_g-closed.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J Math Anal Appl 1968;24:182-90.
- [2] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster, D. Rose, *Ideal resolvability*, Topol Appl 1998(90):1-16.
- [3] MS. El-Naschie, On the uncertainty of constrain geometry and the two-slit experiment, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 1998;9(3):517-29.
- [4] MS. El-Naschie, Elementary prerequisites for Einfinity (recommended background readings in nonlinear dynamics, geometry and topology), Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 2006;30(3):579-605.
- [5] MS. El-Naschie, *Advanced prerequisite for Einfinity theory*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 2006;30:636-41.
- [6] MS. El-Naschie, *Topics in the mathematical physics of E-infinity theory*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 2006;30:656-63.
- [7] D. Jankovic, TR. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer Math Monthly 1990;97(4):295310.
- [8] RA. Mahmoud, Fuzzy ideals, *fuzzy local functions and fuzzy topology*, J Fuzzy Math Los Angeles 1997;5(1):165-72.
- [9] D. Sarkar, Fuzzy ideal theory, fuzzy local function and generated fuzzy topology, Fuzzy Sets Syst 1997;87:117-23.
- [10] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets Information and Control 1965;8:338-53.

